

# Mirror of research in veterinary sciences and animals


The aim of the Mirror of research in veterinary sciences and animals (MRVSA) is to publish papers of high theoretical, scientific and practical value in the field of veterinary sciences, animals diseases, livestock vaccination, veterinary research and development.

In order to assure a high quality of this publication, the articles received from different authors around the world are supposed to the peer review process.

The objective of the scientific evaluation of the papers is as all the articles accepted to be published to have a high scientific content and to bring significant and original contributions in the in veterinary sciences and research.

## The peer-review procedure are:

1. Editorial Board Peer-Review which is aimed to:
  - A. the title of the paper to fit to the topics of the journal
  - B. the paper to be original and bring new aspects, opinions, methods, contributions in the field
  - C. the paper to have a corresponding scientific content
  - D. the paper to compile with the guidelines
  
2. Expert Peer-Review will be assured by the members of the Scientific Board ( from different countries ) who are well known experts in their field of veterinary sciences ( professors, associated professor, senior lectures and researchers ).  
The criteria that will be taken into consideration during the peer review process of the full paper are the following ones:



## **The Peer Review Stages**

1. The scientific papers receives in the electronic form authors.
2. The notification of receiving of the article will be to the author to confirm the first stage of the processing.
3. The form of paper will be check in order to establish if it complies with the guidelines.
4. Sending back to the authors the papers which do not correspond to guidelines with the specific comments.
5. The papers will be distributed by topic.
6. The papers will be distributed by peer reviewers from different countries.
7. The papers will be submitted to each peer reviewer together with the Evaluation forms, and mentioning the time for reviewing of the papers.
8. Receiving the evaluation of the scientific content of the papers from the peer reviewers (evaluation forms) .
9. Analysis of the peer review results for each paper.
10. Acceptance of the papers proposed to be published by peer reviewers with details comments of the reviewers.
11. Submitting news about the paper acceptance to its authors.
12. Submitting suggestions to the authors who papers would be accepted only after making corrections, including additional information etc as the peer reviewers proposed.
13. Waiting for the authors to make corrections, to include additional data and results etc.
14. Receiving of the corrected, completed etc papers from their authors.
15. Resubmitting the corrected and completed papers to the same peer reviewers.
16. Final peer reviewing by peer reviewers.
17. Receiving the final evaluation forms from peer reviewers.
18. Acceptance for publication of the papers proposed by peer reviewers.
19. Informing the authors that their papers have been finally accepted.
20. Informing the authors who papers have been not accepted to be published.
21. Sending the papers to the Publishing House.
22. The paper will be publish online .